

Graviton scattering in matrix theory and supergravity

Marco Fabbrichesi

INFN, Sezione di Trieste and
Scuola Internazionale di Studi Superiori Avanzati
via Beirut 4, I-34014, Italy.

Abstract. I briefly review recent work on the comparison between two and three graviton scattering in supergravity and matrix theory

1 Motivations

In the low-energy regime, M-theory is $D = 11$, $N = 1$ supergravity. In the matrix model the fundamental degrees of freedom of M-theory are 0-branes (that is, Dirichlet particles). For this model to be a correct description of M-theory, it must then reproduce supergravity in the long-distance regime. In particular, 0-brane scattering amplitudes in $D = 10$ must reproduce those of compactified (from $D = 11$ down to 10) supergravity, for which the gravitons carry momentum in the compactified direction.

Such a correspondence between amplitudes in these two different-looking theories plays an important role because it can be computed explicitly. It has now been successfully checked in the two- and three-graviton scattering amplitudes.

2 Two-graviton scattering

The scattering of two graviton carrying momentum in a compactified direction has been studied several times in the literature [1]. The simplest way to compute it is by means of the effective lagrangian [2]

$$L = -p_- \dot{x}^- = -p_- \frac{\sqrt{1 - h_{--} v^2} - 1}{h_{--}}, \quad (1)$$

where $h_{--} = f(r)/2\pi R_{11}$ and $f(r) = 2\kappa^2 M/7\Omega r^7$ for the space-time of the shock wave generated by the graviton moving with momentum $p_- = N_2/R_{11}$. Actually, this is a special case of shock wave in which the 11-th dimension has been smeared. By expanding in the relative velocity v , we find

$$L = -p_- \left\{ \frac{v^2}{2} + a_1 \frac{v^4}{r^7} + a_2 \frac{v^6}{r^{14}} \cdots \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where the exact values of the coefficients a_1 and a_2 are known.

The corresponding amplitude in matrix theory can be derived from the gauge fixed action, the bosonic part of which reads

$$S = \int dt \operatorname{Tr} \left(\dot{a}_0^2 + \dot{x}_i^2 + 4i \dot{R}_k [a_0, x_k] - [R_k, a_0]^2 - [R_k, x_j]^2 \right. \\ \left. + 2i \dot{x}_k [a_0, x_k] + 2 [R_k, a_0] [a_0, x_k] - 2 [R_k, x_j] [x_k, x_j] \right. \\ \left. - [a_0, x_k]^2 - \frac{1}{2} [x_k, x_j]^2 \right), \quad (3)$$

where a_0 and x_k are hermitian matrices representing the fluctuations and R_k is the background. The fermionic and ghost terms must also be included in addition to (3) but are here omitted for simplicity.

The units are such that

$$g_{\text{YM}} = (R_{11}/\lambda_{\text{P}}^2)^{3/2} = 1, \quad (4)$$

the quantities R_{11} , λ_{P} and g_{YM} being the compactification radius, the Planck length and the Yang-Mills coupling, respectively.

The relevant gauge group depends on the process under study. It is the rank one (only one independent velocity) group $SU(2)$ in the two-body scattering.

The corresponding computations at one- and two-loop level in matrix theory yield

$$a_1 = \frac{15}{16} \frac{N_1 N_2}{R^3 M^9} \quad (\text{one loop}) \quad [2] \quad (5)$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{225}{64} \frac{N_1^2 N_2}{R^5 M^{18}} \quad (\text{two loops}) \quad [5], \quad (6)$$

in agreement with what found in supergravity.

3 Three-graviton scattering

The simplest way to obtain supergravity amplitudes is by means of string theory. Since it is a tree-level amplitude, it is consistent with conformal invariance in any dimensionality, in particular in $D = 11$. We consider the *bona fide* superstring theory (where there is no tachyon) and the scattering amplitude of three (11-dimensional) gravitons, and look at suitable *pinching* limits, where only intermediate massless states are coupled to the external gravitons. Those states are themselves 11-dimensional gravitons. We then compactify the 10th space dimension giving mass to the external gravitons, which will thus correspond to 10-dimensional $D0$ -branes. Keeping zero momentum transfer in the 10th dimension, the intermediate states remain massless and correspond to the various massless fields of 10-dimensional supergravity.

By considering only the part of the complete amplitude that is proportional to

$$\varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon'_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon'_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon'_3, \quad (7)$$

ε being the external graviton polarization tensor, we obtain the amplitude A_6 for six graviton vertices [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_6 = & \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon'_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon'_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon'_3 \frac{\kappa^4 (\alpha')^3}{4\pi^3} \int d^2x d^2y dz^2 |1-y|^{-2+\alpha' p'_2 \cdot p_2} \\
& \times |y|^{\alpha' p_3 \cdot p'_2} |1-x|^{\alpha' p_2 \cdot p'_1} |x|^{\alpha' p_3 \cdot p'_1} |1-z|^{\alpha' p'_3 \cdot p_2} \\
& \times |z|^{-2+\alpha' p'_3 \cdot p_3} |z-x|^{\alpha' p'_3 \cdot p'_1} |z-y|^{\alpha' p'_3 \cdot p'_2} |x-y|^{\alpha' p'_2 \cdot p'_1} \\
& \times \left\{ \frac{p'_3 \cdot p'_1 p'_2 \cdot p'_1}{(y-x)(z-x)} + \frac{p_3 \cdot p'_2 p'_3 \cdot p'_1}{y(z-x)} - \frac{p'_3 \cdot p'_2 p_3 \cdot p'_1}{x(z-y)} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{p'_2 \cdot p'_3 p'_2 \cdot p'_1}{(y-x)(z-y)} + \frac{p'_3 \cdot p_2 p'_2 \cdot p'_1}{(z-1)(y-z)} \right\} \wedge \left\{ c.c. \right\} \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_i = (E_i, \mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{q}_i/2, M_i)$, $p'_i = (-E'_i, -\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{q}_i/2, -M_i)$, $p_i^2 = 0$, $E_i \simeq M_i + (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{q}_i/2)^2/2M_i$ and $M_i = N_i/R_{11}$. Moreover, we have that $\sum_i \mathbf{q}_i = 0$ and $\sum_i \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_i = 0$.

In the long-distance regime we are interested in we find that $A_6 = A_V + A_Y$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_V = & 2 \kappa^4 \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon'_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon'_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon'_3 \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_1^2 \mathbf{q}_2^2} \\
& \times \left\{ (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_2)^2 (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)^2 [(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1)^2 - (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)^2 - (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_2)^2] \right. \\
& - (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_2)^2 (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)^2 \left[(\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_2)^2 \frac{\mathbf{q}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)}{\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)} \right. \\
& \left. \left. + (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)^2 \frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_2)}{\mathbf{q}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_2)} \right] \right\} + \text{symmetric} \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_Y = & -2 \kappa^4 \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon'_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon'_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon'_3 \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_1^2 \mathbf{q}_2^2 \mathbf{q}_3^2} \\
& \times \left\{ (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3)^2 [\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1) + \mathbf{q}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)] \right. \\
& + (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)^2 [\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3) + \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)] \\
& \left. + (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)^2 [\mathbf{q}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3) + \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)] \right\}^2 \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $A_V = 0$ and $A_Y = 0$ whenever two of the three momenta are equal or the three momenta are parallel. A_Y is subleading in the relevant regime and we can neglect it.

In order to compare A_V with matrix theory we consider the *eikonal expression* where we integrate over the time t along the world-line trajectories the Fourier

transform

$$a_V = \int \frac{d^9 \mathbf{q}_1 d^9 \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^{18}} A_V \exp \left[i \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_3) + i \mathbf{q}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_3) \right], \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i = (v_i \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 t + \mathbf{b}_i)$, $\mathbf{b}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = 0$ and $B \equiv |\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{b}_2| \gg b \equiv |\mathbf{b}_2 - \mathbf{b}_3|$. We write the momenta in terms of the velocities as $\mathbf{p}_i = M_i \mathbf{v}_i$ while bearing in mind that $M_i \sim N_i$. We normalize the amplitude by dividing the result by the product of the M_i and find [6]

$$\tilde{a}_V \sim \int dt \frac{N_1 N_2 N_3 v_{23}^2 v_{13}^2 v_{12}^2}{(v_{23}^2 t^2 + B^2)^{7/2} (v_{12}^2 t^2 + b^2)^{7/2}} \sim \frac{N_1 N_2 N_3 |v_{23}| v_{13}^2 v_{12}^2}{B^7 b^6} \quad (12)$$

that is to be compared to matrix theory.

A bit of controversy arised concerning the term $\tilde{a}_V$. It was thought to be impossible in matrix theory [9]. However, the argument was not correct, as first shown in [6].

The matrix theory computation is in this case based on the rank two group $SU(3)$. We choose the background

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R_k = \begin{pmatrix} b_k^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_k^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad k > 1. \quad (13)$$

We can factor out the motion of the center of mass by imposing $v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = 0$ and $b_k^1 + b_k^2 + b_k^3 = 0$.

We use a Cartan basis for $SU(3)$, where H^1 and H^2 denote the generators of the Cartan sub-algebra and E_α ($\alpha = \pm\alpha^1, \pm\alpha^2, \pm\alpha^3$) the roots. We also define the space vectors

$$\mathbf{R}^\alpha = \sum_{a=1,2} \alpha^a \text{Tr} \left(H^a \mathbf{R} \right). \quad (14)$$

With the standard choice of H^a and α , this definition singles out the relative velocities and impact parameters, e.g. $R_1^{\alpha^1} = (v_2 - v_3)t \equiv v^{\alpha^1} t$ plus cyclic and, for $k > 1$, $R_k^{\alpha^1} = b_k^2 - b_k^3 \equiv b_k^{\alpha^1}$ plus cyclic. According to the previous section we choose the relative distance of the first particle with the other two to be much larger than the relative distance of particle two and three, in other words, we set

$$|\mathbf{b}^{\alpha^2}| \approx |\mathbf{b}^{\alpha^3}| \approx B \gg |\mathbf{b}^{\alpha^1}| \approx b \quad \text{and} \quad B b \gg v. \quad (15)$$

The propagators and vertices can be easily worked out from the gauge fixed action (3), with two points worth stressing: first, the quadratic part (yielding the propagators) is diagonal in root space; second, contrary to the $SU(2)$ case, there are now vertices with three massive particles (corresponding to the three different roots). The second point is particularly crucial because it is from a diagram containing those vertices that we find the supergravity term.

We find twenty real massless bosons and thirty massive complex bosons. We only need consider some of the latter to construct the diagram. Writing $x_k = x_k^a H^a + x_k^\alpha E_\alpha$, with $x_k^{-\alpha} = x_k^{\alpha*}$, we define the propagators as

$$\langle x_k^{\alpha*}(t_1) x_k^\alpha(t_2) \rangle = \Delta(t_1, t_2 \mid (b^\alpha)^2, v^\alpha). \quad (16)$$

As for x_1 , (the fluctuation associated to the background R_1), it mixes with the field a_0 (the fluctuation of the gauge potential). Writing $x_1^\alpha = z^\alpha + w^\alpha$ and $a_0^\alpha = i(z^\alpha - w^\alpha)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z^{\alpha*}(t_1) z^\alpha(t_2) \rangle &= \Delta(t_1, t_2 \mid (b^\alpha)^2 + 2v^\alpha, v^\alpha) \\ \langle w^{\alpha*}(t_1) w^\alpha(t_2) \rangle &= \Delta(t_1, t_2 \mid (b^\alpha)^2 - 2v^\alpha, v^\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\Delta_i = \int ds e^{-\beta_i^2 s} \sqrt{\frac{v^{\alpha^i}}{2\pi \sinh 2 v^{\alpha^i} s}} \exp \left\{ -h(v^{\alpha^i}, s) t^2 - k(v^{\alpha^i}, s) T^2 \right\} \quad (18)$$

where $t = (t_1 - t_2)/2$, $T = (t_1 + t_2)/2$, $\beta_1^2 = b^2$, $\beta_2^2 = B^2 + 2v_{13}$, $\beta_3^2 = B^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h(v^{\alpha^i}, s) &= \frac{v^{\alpha^i}}{\sinh 2 v^{\alpha^i} s} (\cosh 2 v^{\alpha^i} s + 1) \\ k(v^{\alpha^i}, s) &= \frac{v^{\alpha^i}}{\sinh 2 v^{\alpha^i} s} (\cosh 2 v^{\alpha^i} s - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The vertex we need is contained in the term of the effective action (3) of type

$$-2 \operatorname{Tr} \left([R_1, x_j][x_1, x_j] \right), \quad (20)$$

which gives a vertex with two massive bosons and a massless one and another one with all three massive bosons. Focusing on the second case and choosing a particular combination of the roots we obtain a term of the type

$$v^{\alpha^1} t z^{\alpha^2} x_j^{\alpha^1} x_j^{\alpha^3} \equiv v_{23} t z^{13} x_j^{23} x_j^{12}, \quad (21)$$

and a similar term with z^α replaced by w^α .

The diagrams we have considered are two-loop diagrams in the bosonic sector—there are various similar diagrams which can give rise to the same behavior—and we have analyzed in detail one of them, the *setting-sun* diagram with all massive propagators, which only arises in the three-body problem. It can be written as

$$\tilde{a}_\Theta = (v^{\alpha^1})^2 \int dt dT (T^2 - t^2) \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \quad (22)$$

The appropriate powers of N_i can be deduced—following [2]—from the double-line notation in which the setting-sun diagram is of order N^3 ; this factor must be $N_1 N_2 N_3$ for the diagram to involve all three particles.

Expanding (22) in the limit (14) yields

$$\tilde{a}_\Theta \sim \frac{N_1 N_2 N_3 |v_{23}| v_{12}^2 v_{13}^2}{B^7 b^6} \quad (23)$$

which reproduces the behavior of the supergravity result, that is, $\tilde{a}_\Theta \sim \tilde{a}_V$.

The same result can be obtained in the framework of an effective action in which the degrees of freedom related to the “heavy” modes (those exchanged at distance B) are integrated out and the action is discussed in terms of the “light” modes (exchanged at distance b). Claims about a vanishing result in such an effective-action approach [7] are discussed and shown to be irrelevant for the three-graviton problem in [8].

The preliminary result of [6] concerning a single diagram has been confirmed by the complete computation performed in [10]. They found perfect numerical agreement for the three graviton scattering in supergravity and matrix theory.

References

1. Gibbons and Hull, Phys. Lett. B109 (1982) 190
Gibbons, Nucl. Phys. B207 (1982) 337
Dobiasch and Maison, Gen. Rel. Grav. 14 (1982) 231
Chodos and Detwiler, Gen. Rel. Grav. 14 (1982) 879
Gibbons and Perry, Nucl. Phys. B248 (1984) 629
Gibbons and Maeda, Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 201
Gibbons and Wiltshire, Ann. Phys. 167 (1986) 201
Garfinkle, Horowitz and Strominger, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 3140
Fabbrichesi and Roland, Nucl. Phys. B388 (1992) 539
2. Becker, Becker, Polchinski and Tseytlin, PRD 56 (1997) 3174
3. Fabbrichesi, Iengo and Roland, Nucl. Phys. B402 (1993) 360
4. Becker and Becker, Nucl. Phys. B506 (1997) 48
5. Berenstein and Corrado, Phys. Lett. B406 (1997) 37
6. Fabbrichesi, Ferretti and Iengo, JHEP 06 (1998) 002
7. Taylor and Raamsdonk, [hep-th/9806066](#)
Echols and Gray, [hep-th/9806109](#)
8. Fabbrichesi, Ferretti and Iengo, [hep-th/9806166](#).
9. Dine and Rajaraman, Phys. Lett. B425 (1998) 77
10. Okawa and Yoneya, [hep-th/9806108](#) and [hep-th/9808188](#)